

Deformation of central charges, vertex operator algebras whose Griess algebras are Jordan algebras

Takahiro Ashihara

Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences,
University of Tsukuba,
Tsukuba, 305 Japan.
megane2@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

Masahiko Miyamoto

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba,
Tsukuba, 305 Japan.
miyamoto@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

Abstract

If a vertex operator algebra $V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$ satisfies $\dim V_0 = 1, V_1 = 0$, then V_2 has a commutative (nonassociative) algebra structure called Griess algebra. One of the typical examples of commutative (nonassociative) algebras is a Jordan algebra. For example, the set $Sym_d(\mathbb{C})$ of symmetric matrices of degree d becomes a Jordan algebra. On the other hand, in the theory of vertex operator algebras, central charges influence the properties of vertex operator algebras. In this paper, we construct vertex operator algebras with central charge c and its Griess algebra is isomorphic to $Sym_d(\mathbb{C})$ for any complex number c .

Keyword: Vertex operator algebra; Jordan algebra; central charge.

1 Introduction

A vertex operator algebra (shortly VOA) is a quadruple $(V, Y(\cdot, z), \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ consisting of a $\mathbb{N}$ -graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$, a set of vertex operators $Y(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1} \in \text{End}(V)[[z, z^{-1}]]$ for $v \in V$, and two special elements, a vacuum $\mathbf{1}$ and a Virasoro element ω , and they satisfy several conditions called locality and associativity, etc. A vertex operator algebra is originally defined as a rigorous mathematical object corresponding to (a chiral algebra of) a conformal field theory. However it also has a rich algebraic structure. For example, if a VOA $V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$ satisfies $\dim V_0 = 1$, then the space V_1

of weight 1 becomes a Lie algebra by 0-product v_0u for $v, u \in V_0$, and if $\dim V_0 = 1$ and $V_1 = 0$, then the space V_2 of weight 2 becomes a (nonassociative) commutative algebra (called Griess algebra) by 1-product $v_1u \in V_2$ for $v, u \in V_2$, where $Y(v, z) = \sum v_n z^{-n-1} \in \text{End}(V)[[z, z^{-1}]]$ is a vertex operator of v . The most famous Griess algebra is the monstrous Griess algebra of the moonshine vertex operator algebra $V^\natural$, whose full automorphism group is the monster simple group.

On the other hand, one of the most typical (nonassociative) commutative algebras is a Jordan algebra. For example, $\text{Sym}_d(\mathbb{C})$, the set of symmetric matrices of degree d , becomes a Jordan algebra by a product $A * B = \frac{1}{2}(AB + BA)$. So, it is a natural question if there is a VOA V whose Griess algebra is isomorphic to a given Jordan algebra $\mathcal{G}$ or not.

As an answer to this question, Lam [6] constructed such VOAs as subVOAs of $M(1)^{\otimes d}$ for the most of complex simple Jordan algebras, where $M(1)$ is a VOA associated with a Heisenberg algebra and $M(1)^{\otimes n}$ denotes a tensor product of n copies of $M(1)$, see [4].

Recently, Jordan algebras win another attention because of connection with symmetric cones and Zeta functional equations [3]. In this case, an inner product of Jordan algebra plays an important role. For a VOA $V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$ with $\dim V_0 = 1$ and $V_1 = 0$, a Griess algebra V_2 also has a natural invariant bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$ defined by $\langle a, b \rangle \mathbf{1} = a_3 b$ for $a, b \in V_2$ and $2\langle \omega, \omega \rangle$ is called a central charge of V , where ω is a Virasoro element of V and $\mathbf{1}$ is the vacuum element. Moreover, in the theory of vertex operator algebra, many properties of VOAs are influenced by central charges. For example, it is well known that if all modules are completely reducible, then central charges are all rational numbers. Therefore, it fertilizes the theory of vertex operator algebras to construct many examples with arbitrary central charges.

For example, there are infinitely many VOAs $V_{\mathcal{G}}$ having same Lie algebra as the weight one space V_1 , but with different central charges [5]. These VOAs have close connection with Lie algebras. On the contrary, a VOA without weight one space keeps Lie algebra in the background and has many interesting relations with finite group and other finite dimensional algebras.

The purpose of this paper is to fuse these sights. Since the central charges of VOAs constructed by Lam are the sizes of Jordan algebras, that is, natural numbers, we would like to deform central charges and show a new construction of vertex operator algebras, whose Griess algebras are Jordan algebras and having any complex number as a central charge. Namely, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *For any complex number r and a natural number d , there is a VOA V with central charge rd such that its Griess algebra is isomorphic to a Jordan algebra $\text{Sym}_d(\mathbb{C})$.*

Remark 2 *If $d = 1$, then $\text{Sym}(1, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$ and our construction coincides with a construction of Virasoro VOAs with central charge r . Therefore, one may consider our VOAs as natural extensions of Virasoro VOAs.*

2 Deformation of central charges

2.1 Deformed Lie algebra

Let H be a d -dimensional vector space and $r \in \mathbb{C}$. Viewing it as a commutative Lie algebra with an invariant bilinear form, we can define its affinization:

$$\hat{H} = H[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c},$$

where Lie products are given by

$$\begin{aligned} [h_1 t^m, h_2 t^n] &= \delta_{m+n,0} m \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle \mathbf{c} \text{ for } h_1, h_2 \in H \\ [\mathbf{c}, \hat{H}] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and consider the universal enveloping algebra $U(\hat{H})$ of $\hat{H}$ and its factor ring:

$$U(\hat{H})/(\mathbf{c} - r) = \mathbb{C} \oplus H[t, t^{-1}] \oplus S^2(H[t, t^{-1}]) \oplus \dots$$

divided by an ideal generated by $\mathbf{c} - r$, where $S^n(U)$ is the weight n space of a symmetric tensor algebra $S(U) = \mathbb{C} \oplus U \oplus S^2(U) \oplus \dots$ of U . Viewing this algebra as a Lie algebra with a Lie product $[\alpha, \beta] = \alpha\beta - \beta\alpha$, it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{L}_r = S^2(H[t, t^{-1}]) \oplus \mathbb{C} \quad (\subseteq U(\hat{H})/(\mathbf{c} - r))$$

is a subalgebra. We sometimes denote subspaces $S^2(H[t, t^{-1}])$ and $\mathbb{C}$ of $\mathcal{L}_r$ by $\mathcal{L}'_r$ and $\mathcal{L}_r''$, respectively. We introduce a new Lie product in $\mathcal{L}_r$ by

$$[\alpha, \beta]_r = \frac{1}{r} [\alpha, \beta].$$

The case $r = 1$ is standard, that is, when we construct a VOA of free bozon, $U(\hat{H})/(\mathbf{c} - 1)$ appears. We note that $\mathcal{L}_r$ coincides with $\mathcal{L}_1$ as a vector space, but has a different Lie algebra structure for each r . An important fact is that there is another way to define this Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_r$ by introducing a new Lie product

$$[\alpha, \beta] = [\alpha, \beta]_1' + r[\alpha, \beta]_1'' \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}_1,$$

in $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1' \oplus \mathcal{L}_1''$, where $[\alpha, \beta]_1'$ and $[\alpha, \beta]_1''$ denote projections of $[\alpha, \beta]_1$ into $\mathcal{L}_1'$ and $\mathcal{L}_1''$, respectively.

We next define a Fock space M_r . Let $\{v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(d)}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of H . We denote $v^{(i)} t^m \in H[t, t^{-1}]$ by $v^{(i)}(m)$ for simplicity. Clearly, we have:

Lemma 3

$$\{v^{(i)}(m)v^{(i)}(n) \mid m \leq n, i = 1, \dots, n\} \cup \{v^{(i)}(m)v^{(j)}(n) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq d, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{L}'_r = S^2(H[t, t^{-1}])$.

In order to distinguish a new product $[\cdot, \cdot]_r$ from $\mathcal{L}_1$, we denote the above basis by

$$: v^{(i)}(m)v^{(j)}(n) :_r .$$

In $\mathcal{L}_r$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_r^+ &= \langle : v^{(i)}(m)v^{(j)}(n) :_r \mid m \geq 0 \text{ or } n \geq 0 \rangle \oplus \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{L}_r^- &= \langle : v^{(i)}(m)v^{(j)}(n) :_r \mid m \leq 0, n \leq 0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

are sub Lie algebras of $\mathcal{L}_r$ and

$$\mathcal{L}_r = \mathcal{L}_r^- \oplus \mathcal{L}_r^+.$$

We note that $\mathcal{L}_r^-$ is a commutative Lie algebra. By the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, we have:

$$U(\mathcal{L}_r) = U(\mathcal{L}_r^-) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U(\mathcal{L}_r^+),$$

where $U(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathcal{H}$.

We next define a $U(\mathcal{L}_r^+)$ -module $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ by the conditions:

- (1) $: v^{(i)}(m)v^{(j)}(n) :_r \mathbf{1} = 0$ if $m \geq 0$ or $n \geq 0$ and
- (2) the constants $s \in \mathbb{C}$ act as scalar times.

Then by inducing, we can define a $U(\mathcal{L}_r)$ -module:

$$M_r = U(\mathcal{L}_r) \otimes_{U(\mathcal{L}_r^+)} \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \cong U(\mathcal{L}_r^-)\mathbf{1}.$$

Define the grading into M_r by

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(: v^{(i)}(m)v^{(j)}(n) :_r) &= -m - n \text{ and} \\ \deg(: v^{(i_1)}(m_1)v^{(j_1)}(n_1) :_r \cdots : v^{(i_k)}(m_k)v^{(j_k)}(n_k) :_r) \mathbf{1} &= -(\sum_{i=1}^k m_i + n_i) \end{aligned}$$

and set

$$(M_r)_n = \{v \in M_r \mid \deg v = n\}.$$

It is easy to see the following lemma.

Lemma 4

$$M_r = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (M_r)_n$$

and $(M_r)_0 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$, $(M_r)_1 = 0$, and $(M_r)_2 = \bigoplus_{i \geq j} \mathbb{C} : v^{(i)}(-1)v^{(j)}(-1) :_r \mathbf{1}$.

2.2 Vertex operators

Clearly, $\mathcal{L}_r$ acts on M_r faithfully and we may view $\mathcal{L}' \oplus \mathcal{L}'' \cong \mathcal{L}'_r \oplus \mathcal{L}''_r \subseteq \text{End}(M_r)$. The useful fact is that the commutators of $\mathcal{L}_r$ are still in $\mathcal{L}_r$, which plays an important role.

In imitation of the Sugawara-construction, we define operators $L_r^{ij}(n)$ on M_r as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L_r^{ii}(n) &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n-h \leq h} : v^{(i)}(n-h)v^{(i)}(h) :_r + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h < n-h} : v^{(i)}(h)v^{(i)}(n-h) :_r \quad \text{and} \\ L_r^{ij}(n) &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} : v^{(i)}(n-h)v^{(j)}(h) :_r \quad \text{if } i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

We note that all terms in $L_r^{ij}(n)$ are in $\mathcal{L}'_r$. Although each $L_r^{ij}(n)$ is an infinite sum of elements in $\mathcal{L}'_r$, all but finitely many act as zero to every element in M_r and so the sums are well-defined as elements in $\text{End}(M_r)$.

Proposition 5 *We have the following properties:*

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_r^{ii}(m), L_r^{ii}(n)] &= (m-n)L_r^{ii}(m+n) + \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{m^3-m}{12} r, \\
[L_r^{ij}(m), L_r^{st}(n)] &= 0 \text{ if } \{i, j\} \cap \{s, t\} = \emptyset, \\
[L_r^{ii}(m), L_r^{ij}(n)] &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (m-k) : v^{(i)}(k) v^{(j)}(m+n-k) :_r, \\
[L_r^{ij}(m), L_r^{ij}(n)] &= \frac{m-n}{2} (L_r^{ii}(n+m) + L_r^{jj}(n+m)) + \delta_{n+m,0} \frac{m^3-m}{24} r, \\
[L_r^{ij}(m), L_r^{jk}(n)] &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} l : v^{(i)}(m-l) v^{(k)}(n+l) :_r \text{ for } i \neq j \neq k \neq i, \text{ and} \\
[\sum_{i=1}^d L_r^{ii}(-1), L_r^{st}(m)] &= (-1-m)L_r^{st}(-1+m),
\end{aligned}$$

where $[A, B] = AB - BA$.

[Proof] As it is well-known, we are able to obtain the above results for the original case $r = 1$ by the direct calculation. From the definition of $L_r^{ij}(n)$, since it is an infinite sum of elements in $\mathcal{L}'_r$, we have:

$$[L_r^{ij}(n), L_r^{st}(m)] = [L_1^{ij}(n), L_1^{st}(m)]' + r[L_1^{ij}(n), L_1^{st}(m)]''$$

by viewing $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_r \subseteq \text{End}(M_r)$ as vector spaces, where we have to note that $[\cdot]'$ and $[\cdot]''$ in the right side denote infinite sums of elements in $\mathcal{L}'_r$ and $\mathcal{L}''_r$, respectively. Therefore, we have the desired conclusion for any r . ■

As a corollary, we have:

Corollary 6 *If we define $\omega_r^{ij}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_r^{ij}(n) z^{-n-2}$ for $i \leq j$, then all $\omega_r^{ij}(z)$ satisfy local commutativity with each other including itself.*

[Proof] As it is well-known, we have

$$0 = (x-z)^4 [\omega_1^{ij}(x), \omega_1^{st}(z)].$$

Using an expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\omega_1^{ij}(x), \omega_1^{st}(z)] &= [\omega_1^{ij}(x), \omega_1^{st}(z)]' + [\omega_1^{ij}(x), \omega_1^{st}(z)]'' \\
&\in \mathcal{L}'[[x, x^{-1}, z, z^{-1}]] \oplus \mathcal{L}''[[x, x^{-1}, z, z^{-1}]],
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (x-z)^4 [\omega_1^{ij}(x), \omega_1^{st}(z)]' \\
0 &= (x-z)^4 [\omega_1^{ij}(x), \omega_1^{st}(z)]''.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(x-z)^4 [\omega_r^{ij}(x), \omega_r^{st}(z)] = (x-z)^4 [\omega^{ij}(x), \omega^{st}(z)]' + r(x-z)^4 [\omega^{ij}(x), \omega^{st}(z)]'' = 0.$$

■

We set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J} &:= \{\omega_r^{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq d\}, \\ V_{\mathcal{J}} &:= \langle L_r^{i_1, j_1}(m_1) \cdots L_r^{i_k, j_k}(m_k) \mathbf{1} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i_l \leq j_l \leq d, 1 \leq l \leq k \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_r^{ij} = L_r^{ij}(-2)\mathbf{1}$, and define a map $Y_0(\cdot, x)$ from $\mathcal{J}$ to $\text{End}(V_{\mathcal{J}})[[x, x^{-1}]]$ as follows;

$$Y_0(\omega_r^{ij}, z) := \omega_r^{ij}(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_r^{ij}(m) x^{-m-2}.$$

Lemma 7 *Y_0 can be uniquely extended to a linear map Y from $V_{\mathcal{J}}$ to $\text{End}(V_{\mathcal{J}})[[x, x^{-1}]]$ such that $(V_{\mathcal{J}}, Y, \mathbf{1})$ carries the vertex algebra structure and $L_r(-1) := \sum_{i=1}^d L_r^{ii}(-1)$ is a operator on $V_{\mathcal{J}}$ such that for $v \in V_{\mathcal{J}}$*

$$[L_r(-1), Y(v, x)] = \frac{d}{dx} Y(v, x).$$

[Proof] By the definition of the operator $L_r^{ij}(m)$, we have

$$L_r^{ij}(m)\mathbf{1} = 0 \quad \text{if } m \geq -1.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$Y_0(\omega_r^{ij}, x)\mathbf{1} \in \text{End}(V_{\mathcal{J}})[[x]] \quad , \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} Y_0(\omega_r^{ij}, x)\mathbf{1} = L_r^{ij}(-2)\mathbf{1} = \omega_r^{ij}$$

and

$$L_r(-1)\mathbf{1} = 0.$$

By Proposition 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}[L_r(-1), Y_0(\omega_r^{ij}, x)] &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (-m-2) L_r^{ij}(m) x^{-m-3} \\ &= \frac{d}{dx} Y_0(\omega_r^{ij}, z).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using Theorem 5.7.1 in [8], this lemma holds. ■

It is clear by Proposition 5 and Lemma 7 that $V_{\mathcal{J}}$ is a conformal vertex algebra with the vacuum vector $\mathbf{1}$, the virasoro element $\omega_r := \sum_{i=1}^d \omega_r^{ii}$, and a central charge dr . Since the element $:v^{(i)}(m)v^{(j)}(n):_r \in M_r$ satisfies the condition

$$[L_r(0), :v^{(i)}(m)v^{(j)}(n):_r] = (-m-n) :v^{(i)}(m)v^{(j)}(n):_r,$$

we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 8 *The grading of M_r coincides with the $L_r(0)$ -eigenspace decomposition of M_r .* ■

Let $(V_{\mathcal{J}})_m$ be the homogeneous subspace of weight m of $V_{\mathcal{J}}$. By Lemma 8, it is clear that $\dim(V_{\mathcal{J}})_m$ is finite.

Theorem 9 *$(V_{\mathcal{J}}, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ is a vertex operator algebra with the central charge dr . In particular,*

$$(V_{\mathcal{J}})_n = \{0\} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{n \leq 0}, \quad (1)$$

$$(V_{\mathcal{J}})_0 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}, \quad (2)$$

$$(V_{\mathcal{J}})_1 = \{0\}, \quad (3)$$

$$((V_{\mathcal{J}})_2, 1 - \text{product}) \cong \text{Sym}_d(\mathbb{C}). \quad (4)$$

[Proof] (1)-(3) are easy. We see by the direct calculation that

$$\omega_r^{ij} = L_r^{ij}(-2)\mathbf{1} = \frac{1}{2} : v^{(i)}(-1)v^{(j)}(-1) :_r.$$

Thus, $\{\omega_r^{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq d\}$ forms the basis of $(V_{\mathcal{J}})_2$. In particular, $(V_{\mathcal{J}})_2 = (M_r)_2$. Finally, define a map g from $\text{Sym}_d(\mathbb{C})$ to $(V_{\mathcal{J}})_2$ as follows:

$$g(E^{ij}) = \omega_r^{ij},$$

where E^{ij} is the matrix of degree d with i, j -entry and j, i -entry being 1 and all other entries 0. Calculating 1-product and the product introduced in Introduction of $\text{Sym}_d(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$(\omega_r^{ij})_1(\omega_r^{st}) = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{i,s}\omega_r^{jt} + \delta_{i,t}\omega_r^{js} + \delta_{j,s}\omega_r^{it} + \delta_{j,t}\omega_r^{is})$$

and

$$E^{ij} * E^{st} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{i,s}E^{jt} + \delta_{i,t}E^{js} + \delta_{j,s}E^{it} + \delta_{j,t}E^{is}).$$

Therefor, g is an algebra isomorphism and (4) holds. ■

Thus, $V_{\mathcal{J}}$ is the desired VOA in Theorem 1 in Introduction.

We will denote the above VOA $V_{\mathcal{J}}$ by $V_{\mathcal{J}B}(d, dr)$ according to the classification of Jordan algebra by Albert [1], where dr is the central charge of $V_{\mathcal{J}B}(d, dr)$.

Since $(V_{\mathcal{J}B}(d, dr))_2 \cong \text{Sym}_d(\mathbb{C})$ is simple, all nontrivial ideals of $V_{\mathcal{J}B}(d, dr)$ are contained in $\bigoplus_{n \geq 3} (V_{\mathcal{J}B}(d, dr))_n$ and so $V_{\mathcal{J}B}(d, dr)$ has the unique maximal ideal $\mathcal{P}$. In particular, we have a simple VOA $V_{\mathcal{J}B}(d, r)/\mathcal{P}$ with the same Griess algebra $(V_{\mathcal{J}B}(d, dr)/\mathcal{P})_2 \cong \text{Sym}_d(\mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 10 $\text{Aut}(V_{\mathcal{J}B}(d, dr)) \cong \text{Aut}(V_{\mathcal{J}B}(d, dr)/\mathcal{P}) \cong O_d(\mathbb{C})/\langle \pm 1 \rangle$.

[Proof]

Clearly, $O_d(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $\text{VA}(\mathcal{J})$ and also on M_r and ± 1 acts trivially on the M_r . Since $O_d(\mathbb{C})$ is compatible with f , $O_d(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $V_{\mathcal{J}B}(d, dr)$. Furthermore, since $O_d(\mathbb{C})/\langle \pm 1 \rangle$ acts on $\text{Sym}_d(\mathbb{C})$ faithfully, we have $O_d(\mathbb{C})/\langle \pm 1 \rangle \subseteq \text{Aut}(V_{\mathcal{J}B}(d, dr))$. On the other hand, if there is $g \in \text{Aut}(V_{\mathcal{J}B}(d, dr)) - O_d(\mathbb{C})/\langle \pm 1 \rangle$, then we may assume that g fixes all elements in $(M_r)_2$ since $O_d(\mathbb{C})/\langle \pm 1 \rangle = \text{Aut}(\text{Sym}_d(\mathbb{C}))$. However, since $\text{VA}(\mathcal{J})$ is generated by operators of $(M_r)_2$, we have a contradiction. ■

References

- [1] A. A. Albert, A structure theory for Jordan algebra, Ann. of Math., 48 (1947), 546-567.
- [2] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the monster, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 83,(1986), 3068-3071.
- [3] J. Faraut, A. Koranyi, *Analysis on symmetric cones*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1994.
- [4] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, "Vertex operator algebras and the Monster", Pure and Applied Mathematics, 134. Academic Press, (1988).
- [5] I. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras. Duke Math. J. 66 (1992), no. 1, 123-168.
- [6] C. H. Lam, On VOA associated with special Jordan algebras. Comm. Algebra 27 (1999), no. 4, 1665-1681.
- [7] H. S. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 109 (1996), no. 2, 143-195.
- [8] J. Lepowsky and H. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Progress in Mathematics Vol.227 Birkhäuser, 2004.